

Until the mid-1980s, if you wanted to be politically active, you had to decide for yourself whether you wanted to use tax cuts to enable the top performers in society to enjoy their performance, or whether you wanted to compensate for market weaknesses through redistribution.

Then leftists replaced social justice with individual identity, neoliberalism, and the enforcement of neoliberalism by military means. The right followed suit, replacing nationalism with ethnic identity.

The advantage is obvious. Instead of solving specific problems of specific groups of voters, one can limit oneself to recognizing that one belongs to a group that is to be discriminated against and diversified and then profess this honorable goal domestically and abroad, also with military power.

Why do left-liberals actually believe that the bottom 16% of the normal distribution of the population believe that human rights and democracy are at stake when they have been trampling on this bottom 16% under left-liberal war cries since the mid-1980s?